PATENT COOPERATION TREA'1 Y

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUT	HORITY				
To: ROBERT D. TRAVER SHERIDAN ROSS P.C. 1560 BROADWAY, SUITE 1200 DENVER, CO 80202-5141		PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
		(PCT Rule 43bis.1)			
		Date of mailing (day/month/year) 15 JUN 2005			
Applicant's or agent's file reference		FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
5048-6-PCT	Transferral filing data	(day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)			
International application No.	International application No. International filing date				
PCT/US05/06982 International Patent Classification (IPC	02 March 2005 (02.03.2 c) or both national classifica	tion and IPC	05) 02 March 2004 (02.03.2004) on and IPC		
IPC(7): H01M 8/00 and US Cl.: 429/					
Applicant					
KRUESI, PAUL R.					
1. This opinion contains indications	relating to the following iter	ns:			
Box No. I Basis of	K—7				
Box No. II Priority					
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability					
Box No. IV Lack of	Lack of unity of invention				
Box No. V Reasone applicab	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
Box No. VI Certain	Certain documents cited				
Box No. VII Certain	Certain defects in the international application				
Box No. VIII Certain	Certain observations on the international application				
2. FURTHER ACTION If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.					
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.					
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.					
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.					
Name and mailing address of the ISA	/ US	Authorized offi	cer)		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: ISA/US Commissioner for Patents		Michael Barr			
P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450		Telephone No.	(571) 272 1414 (Con		
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230 Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (Jar		1	V		
Form PC1/15A/25/ (cover sheet) (Jai	unity boots				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/06982

Box No. I	Basis of this opinion
1. With regard it was filed	d to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which d, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
Thi whi	s opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language, ch is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With rega	and to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the vention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
a. typ	e of material
	a sequence listing
	table(s) related to the sequence listing
b. for	mat of material
	in written format
	in computer readable form
c. tin	ne of filing/furnishing
	contained in international application as filed.
	filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
£1	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been ed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additiona	d comments:
1	

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/US05/06982 Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest not paid additional fees This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant 2. to pay additional fees. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is complied with not complied with for the following reasons: See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210) 4. Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: all parts. the parts relating to claims Nos. $\underline{1-9}$

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US05/06982

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement		
Novelty (N)	Claims 9	YES
• • •	Claims 1-8	NO
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YES
inventive step (15)	Claims 9	NONO
	Claims 1.0	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-9 Claims 1-9	NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-3, 7 and 8 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Pasavento U.S. Patent No. 6,200,697 hereinafter Pasavento.

Pasavento teaches a carbon air fuel cell comprising an anode, cathode, a membrane there between, an electrolyte that can be sodium hydroxide and a carbon fuel that can be activated carbon or recovered from organic waste (abstract, column 1, line 13 - column 4, line 28, and column 5, lines 1-67)

Claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Tao U.S. Patent No. 6,692,861 hereinafter Tao.

Tao teaches a carbon oxygen fuel cell comprising an anode made of graphite, cathode made of stainless steel, a membrane located there between, an electrolyte and a carbon fuel that can be activated carbon (column 1, line 30 - column 7, line 20).

Claims 1 and 6 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Juda et al. U.S. Patent No 3,470,026 hereinafter Juda et al.

Juda et al. teaches a fuel cell comprising an anode, a cathode, a membrane that is proton permeable that is located there between, an electrolyte and a carbon fuel (abstract and column 1, line 55 - column 2, line 72).

Claim 9 lacks an inventive step under PCT Article 33(3) as being obvious over Pesavento in view of Taylor U.S. Patent No. 3,085,053 hereinafter Taylor.

Pesavento teaches everything in the above lack of novelty statements.

Pesavento does not teach the use of a regenerative cell.

Taylor teaches that fuel cells are well known for creating energy from carbon fuels and that a reversed fuel cell may be employed to regenerate said carbon fuel and in turn create oxygen as a desirable byproduct.

At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Taylor into the Pesavento reference in order to provide a fuel cell system that can regenerate the carbon fuel to recycle the byproducts and make a system that is self-sufficient.

Claims 1-9 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

CHAPTER I PCT TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM FOR LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION



PCT No.: PCT/US05/06982	Cans
Examiner: Robert Hodge	
Attorney spoken to: Robert Trevor	
Date of call: 20 May 2005	
Amount of payment approved:	
Deposit account number to be charged:	
Attorney elected to pay for <u>ALL</u> additional inventions	
Attorney elected to pay only for the additional inventions co	overed by
Group(s):	
encompassing –	
Claim(s):	
Attorney elected NOT to pay for any additional inventions, (Group I) covered by Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> has been searched.	therefore, only the first claimed invention
Attorney was orally advised that there is no right to protest	for any group not paid for.
Attorney was orally advised that any protest must be filed of the Search Report (PCT/ISA/210).	no later than <u>15 days</u> from the mailing

Time Limit For Filing A Protest

Applicant is hereby given <u>15 days</u> from the mailing date of this Search Report in which to file a protest of the holding of lack of unity of invention. In accordance with PCT Rule 40.2, applicant may protest the holding of lack of unity only with respect to the group(s) paid for.

Detailed Reasons For Holding Lack of Unity of Invention:

Please See Continuation Sheet

Note: A copy of this form must be attached to the Search Report.

ATTACHMENT TO CHAPTER I PCT TELEPHONE MEMORANDUM FOR LACK OF UNITY OF INVENTION

Continuation of Detailed Reasons For Holding Lack of Unity of Invention:

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In order for all inventions to be examined, the appropriate additional examination fees must be paid.

Group I, claim(s) 1-9, drawn to a fuel cell.

Group II, claim(s) 10-14, drawn to a regenerative cell.

Group III, claim(s) 15-17, drawn to a method of producing hydrogen.

Group IV, claim(s) 18-20, drawn to a method of forming carbon dioxide.

Group V, claim(s) 21-25, drawn to a second method of forming carbon dioxide.

Group VI, claim(s) 26-28, drawn to a second method of forming hydrogen.

The inventions listed as Groups I-VI do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: The common technical features of all of the claimed inventions are well known in the art, i.e. an anode, a cathode, a membrane, and an electrolyte.